motion: and the will has at last become all-important to philosophy.

An Improvement on Psycho-Analysis

(Continued from page 53)

W E ought not to be surprised to learn that Dr. Jung of Zürich balked at some of Freud's con-clusions. Instead of relating will to sex, he related sex to will. Thus, all unconsciously, he has paved the way for a revival of the old magical idea of the will as the dynamic aspect of the self. Each individual, according to the initiate bas his some definite many to the initiates, has his own definite purpose, and as-sumes human form, with its privileges and penalties, in order to execute that purpose. This truth is ex-pressed in magical language by the phrase "Every man and every woman is a star," which stands at the head of all hieratic writings "Liber Legis." It follows that "The word of Sin is Restriction"; "Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the law," So, once more, we see

shall be the whole of the law." So, once more, we see Science gracefully bowing her maiden brows before her old father, Magic.

Dr. Jung has, however, not reached this high point in conscious thought. But he sees clearly enough that neuroses and insanities spring from repressions, from internal conflicts between desire and inhibition; and he does apparently accept fully the definition of "libido" as Will, in the magical sense. Bergson's "clan vital" is very much the same, if a shallower conception. At any rate, let us rejoice that the tedious and stupid attempt to relate every human idea to sex has been relegated to oblivion; or, if you prefer to put it that way, that we must now interpret sex in vaster symbols, comprehending and achieving the ancient and modern worships of Pan as embracing the universe more adequately than almost any other conception. The charge of anthropomorphism still lies; but this is and charge of anuropomorphism still next but this is necessary. "God is man"—the third and secret motto of the Knights of the Temple.—is, after all, for hu-manity at least, a proposition of identity, and relative only in so far as all Truth is relative.

'HE main practical issue of Jung's acquiesence in THE main practical issue of Jung a acquiresme in magical theory is, as explained above, his interpretation of myths. The myth is the dream of the race. He sees that Freud cannot sustain his thesis that every dream is a picture of unfulfilled desire; but he seeks to prove that the great myths of the race, being really the prove that the great myus of the face, being reasy of poems of the race, are the artistic and religious ex-pression of the will of the race. For the will of the world becomes articulate in the true poet, and he is the incarnation of the spirit of the times (the Zeitgeist).

He was of old limited by the frontiers of his own civilization and time, but to-day his footstool is the planet, and he thinks in terms of eternity and of infinite space. Now Jung's great work has been to analyze the race-myths, and to find in them the expression of the un-

nscious longings of humanity.
We cannot think that he has been particularly happy in selecting wooden, academic exercises like "Hia-watha," which has as much inspiration as the Greek iambics of a fourth-form boy in a fourth-rate school; and he is still obsessed by the method and also by the main ideas of Freud. Much of his analysis is start-

ling, and at first sight ridiculous.

CAN we close our eyes to the perpetual contradic-tions in his alleged symbolism? Jung regards a screent on a monument as desire, or the obstacle to desire, or the presence of desire, or the absence of de-sire, just as suits his purpose. There is no consistency in the argument, and there is no serious attempt to bring all cognate symbols into parallel. He brings many, it is true—but he omits certain important ones. so that one is bound to suspect that all his omissions are intentional!

However, the main point of this paper is to illustrate the prime line of reasoning adopted by Jung. This understood, the reader can ferret out his own ex-

Inis understood, the reader can terret out his own ex-planations for his own dreams, desires and myths! Jung is a determinist. The Victorians—especially Herbert Spencer—denying "free will," would argue that a man ate an egg not because he wanted to do so, but because of the history of the universe. The forces of infinity and eternity bent themselves in one herculean effort, and pushed the egg into his mouth! This is quite undeniable; but it is only one way of looking at the egg question.

NOW Jung treats literature in just this way. He will not admit that an author has any choice of material. If Rupert of Hentzau wounds somebody in the shoulder, it is because of the story of Pelops and Hera, in which the shoulder is a sexual symbol. If the other man ripostes and touches Rupert in the ear, it is because Pantagruel was born from the ear of Gar-gamelle. So the ear is a sexual symbol. If the hero of a novel goes from Liverpool to New York, it is the myth of "the night journey by sea of the sun." If he goes on to Brooklyn, it is the Des-cent into Hades of Vergil, or

Dante, or anybody else! There is no evasion of this type of argument; but all arguments that prove every-thing prove nothing! If I prove that some cats are aming prove notating. If I prove that some cats are green, it is interesting; but if I go on to show that all cats are green, I destroy myself, "Greenness" becomes included implicitly in the idea of "cat." It is senseless to say that "all bipeds have two legs."

OWEVER, Dr. Jung does not mind this at all. He definitely wishes to reduce the universe of will, which we think so complex and amusing, to a single crude symbol. According to him, the history of humanity is the struggle of the child to free itself from the mother. Every early need is met by the mother; hunger and fatigue find solace at her breast. Even the final "will to die," the desire of the supreme and eternal repose, is interpreted as the return to earth, the mother

It will occur to the reader that there is much in this; for instance, the myth or religion of the race tends to disappear with its emancipation from the mother and family system.

But we cannot conquer one's revolt against what seems the essential absurdity of the whole Jung argument; that, considering—let us say, the importance of the horse to man, with so many horses to consections, Jung can see nothing in a story of a man on horseback but a reference to the "symbol of the stamping horse," which has something to do with the dreams of one of his neurotic patients on the one hand, and the mythical horse in the Rig-Veda on the

We almost prefer the refinement of modesty evi-We almost prefer the refinement of modesty evidenced by the young lady who always blushed when she saw the number "six"—because she knew Latin! However, we should all study Jung. His final conclusions are in the main correct, even if his rough working is a bit sketchy; and we've got to study him, whether we like it or not, for he will soon he recognized as the undoubted Autocrat of the 1917 dinner-

Just ask your pretty neighbor at dinner to-night whether she has introverted her Electra-complex; be-cause it will surely become one of the favorite conver-sational gambits of the coming social season!

